

Actions to be proposed and other thoughts

March 2022



- → Since July 2019, the management has commissioned LMD-Climaction, formed with volunteers from the lab, to put the LMD on a path that is compatible with the Sustainable Development Goals of the United Nations.
- → In April 2021, LMD-Climaction presented the 2019 LMD's carbon footprint (method in appendix) to the General Assembly, along with the results of an opinion survey that received responses from approximately 50% of the LMD's members.
- → Since then, LMD-Climaction has been working on developing measures to reduce the laboratory's environmental and social footprint. These reflections and propositions of actions have so far focused on the Missions, Digital, and Purchases items, and need to be discussed with as many people as possible and revised in line with our concerns, before being put to a vote at the General Assembly.

Carbon Foot	brint				Laborato	ire de Météor
		[% total]	[tCO2e]		[δtCO2e]	[% incert.]
0010	HEBERGEMENT					
2019	[bâtiments / énergie]	7,4	102	±	31	30
	[achats et services]	17,4	240	±	106	44
	DEPLACEMENTS					
	[domicile-travail]	6,1	84	±	51	61
	[missions]	16,8	232	±	119	51
	DISPOSITIFS					
	[campagnes terrain]	16,1	222	±	133	60
BSTPOO	[clusters calcul]	12,1	167	±	68	41
+ POSTER coming soon	ACHATS					
A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	[instruments]	19	263	±	105	40
contury .	[fonctionnement]	5,3	73	±	29	40
	BILAN LMD					[% incert.]
	total LMD en tCO2e		1382	±	642	46
	total LMD en tCO2e / personne		8,3	±	3,8	
	extrapo tCO2e publique / français		0,7	±	0,32	
	% objectif <2	° (2 tCO2e)	35	±	16	



If the entire public service emitted like the average LMD member, it would account for, 0.7±0.32tCO2e in the consumption-based footprint of each French citizen.

Today the public service accounts for ~1.1tCO2e in the average total footprint, which is about ~10t. The target for this total footprint in 2050 is 2t/inhab./year, so the public service must significantly reduce its carbon emissions.

	[%]	[tCO2e]		[δtCO2e]						
Vie du laboratoire	36,2	499	±	217						
(hébergement + achats fonctionnement + domicile/travail)										
Déplacements recherche	32,9	454	±	252						
(missions + campagnes terrain	1)									
Moyens recherche	31,1	430	±	173						
(achats instruments + clusters	calcul)									

Aymeric Spiga, Antoine Bierjon, Alexis Tantet, Antony Delavois, Gaëlle Bruant aymeric.spiga@lmd.ipsl.fr



88.8% (87 r) **11.2%** (11 r) **88%** would like a calculator to estimate and monitor their individual footprint

lissions



⇒ 2022: awareness year - carbon footprint calculator (MonPetitCarbone) mandatory for the missions (pdf file generated by the calculator to be attached to the mission order)





⇒ 2023+: Implementation of CO₂ reduction measures (still to be devised), with some following ideas :

- based on carbon footprints 2019/2021 + MonPetitCarbone 2022 data
- CO₂ emission ceiling / long haul flight limits?
- individual / team / staff category limits?
- progressive trajectory
- carry-over credits



→ Reminder of the government circular (13/11/2020): obligation to travel by train in France if the trip is < 3h by train.</p>



⇒ Vote to ban air travel in continental France, and in Europe for trips < 5h by train?</p>

- \rightarrow Under discussion:
 - Allow long trips (e.g. night trains), to be incorporated in missions policy to come.
 At the moment, not accepted by management if the transport adds an overnight stay to the mission (legal liability).
 - Facilitate first class by train, with a standardized letter from the direction



Reminder of the orders of magnitude for the digital:

- **90% for High Performance Computing** (HPC 45Mh computing time ≅ 167 tCO₂e in 2019)
- 4 tCO₂e/Mh of computing, of which ~36% for manufacturing, ~7% for storage

Areas of work:

- GENCI/Labos1point5 discussions for a footprint provided by the computing centres
- Seminar to raise awareness about the impacts of digital technology to be organised with the IPSL-Climactions group (HPC, "everyday" digital technology)
- Seminar on code optimisation by external experts? (for both modelling and inversion algorithms)
- Life cycle: discussions with J. Lenseigne, responsible practices already existing (eco-info audit?)
- A labelling was considered but eventually discarded (Label NR, https://label-nr.fr/)



Purchasing Charter:

(under development, to be discussed and voted)

- 2 > 25/40 k€: at least X% environmental / social requirements in the tender
- > <u>> 5k</u>€: request for carbon footprint/Life Cycle Analysis in addition to the 3 quotes
- <u><5k€</u>:
 - i. if going through France-Lise Robin: encourage responsible purchasing (e.g. green logo on UGAP) in agreement with the credit holder
 - ii. if using MatInfo (computer equipment): little room for manoeuvre, but possible personal adjustments (size of computer, reparability index, etc.)
 - iii. if using the Purchasing Card : raising awareness among cardholders



- Discussions to be held with instrument managers on the life cycle and data sharing
- Going outside the market for an ESAT (Établissement et Service d'Aide par le Travail) for part of the office supplies: under progress for SU / X / CNRS purchases
- Caterers: list of responsible caterers environmental criteria to be addressed when

requesting a quote (example below, under progress at SU, addressed at X, complicated at ENS because of 2 contractual caterers?)

Demande de devis Traiteur

Votre message

Nous souhaitons une prestation à faible impact environnemental donc nous avons les questions supplémentaires suivantes :

- les produits travaillés sont-ils d'origine responsable ? (par exemple : produits frais, de saison, issus de l'agriculture biologique ou d'une démarche agro-écologique, circuits courts, .)
- les prestations proposées permettraient-elles une part de 50 % végétarien minimum ? (proportion à discuter)
- une prestation sans plastique/limitant les déchets est-elle envisageable ?

- quelle serait la prise en charge des restes alimentaires en fin de journée ?

En vous remerciant grandement pour votre temps, veuillez agréer mes sincères salutations.

□ En utilisant ce formulaire, vous acceptez le stockage et le traitement de vos données par ce site.



- Would you like to be made aware of/trained on specific subjects?
- Would it be interesting to encourage environmental footprint estimations in funding applications?
- With unlimited resources (allocated hours, storage, simulation speed), should we restrict ourselves on HPC?
- Should we reach for more equality in the missions?
- Is the improvement of instrumental/modelling data sharing possible / desirable?
- How can we reconcile environmental ethics and academic freedom?
- Is our research resilient to upcoming crises?







 \Rightarrow Do you have any comments ?



⇒ Do we want the LMD to adopt a policy to reduce its Greenhouse Gases emissions?

 \Rightarrow Do we make mandatory the use of the carbon footprint calculator **MonPetitCarbone** for all missions?

 \Rightarrow Do we ban air travel in continental France, and in Europe if trip < 5 hours by train ? (*)

(*) except for specific exemption expressly asked to the direction.



thanks you for your interest in this presentation. Your participation is essential to develop an environmental strategy for the whole LMD that is both ambitious and respectful of our work.



★ Contact list of LMD-Climaction active members is shown on :

https://climactions.ipsl.fr/groupes-de-travail/Imd-climactions/

- ★ The results slides from the opinion survey from April 2021 are in the following file (*in French*) : 2021_04_14_AG_LMD_Sondage.pdf
- ★ Reminder of the 2019 carbon footprint methodology (more information *in French too* in the file *Methodologie_bilan_carbone_2019_du_LMD.pdf*) :
 - Hosting (SU / X / ENS): pro rata in staff and surface of the hosts carbon footprints, for *bâtiments et énergie* (scopes 1&2) and *achats et services* (scope 3)
 - <u>Commuting:</u> usage of results of a survey (65% of responses) processed by GES1.5 and extrapolated
 - Missions: anonymised GESLAB extraction for CNRS+SU missions, extrapolated for X et ENS
 - <u>Field campaigns:</u> scoring of campaigns, and evaluation with commissioned institutes or through carbon footprints done by the campaign managers
 - <u>Computing clusters:</u> clocking of computing hours, conversion into electrical kWh (GENCI+eco-info) and conversion into CO2e (ADEME and eco-info emission factors)
 - <u>Purchases:</u> emission factors (ADEME, CEDA) relative to NACRES codes and sectorial extrapolation for purchases with missing factors